UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,033	06/29/2006	Markus Speckbacher	3707	5777
7590 03/18/2008 Striker Striker and Stenby			EXAMINER	
103 East Neck I	Road	ELHILO, EISA B		
Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/585,033	SPECKBACHER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2006.					
· <u> </u>						
	<del>/ _</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-and 7-</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-3 and 5-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
	cicolion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/29/06 & 8/15/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/585,033 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

## DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the following:

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 and 6 objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1-3 and 6 recite the term "among". This term should be changed to "the group consisting of" in order to make the claims in proper form. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Examiner position**

The examiner makes of record that instant claim 1 recites a large number of formulae followed by a fewer number. For examination purposes, the examiner asserts that the fewer number of formulae recited in the instant claim 1 merely exemplary formulae, and thus, the prior art will be applied against the large number of formulae recited in the instant claim 1. Further, the examiner suggests that applicant should delete the fewer number of formulae from the claim 1, and add new dependent claims that recite the fewer formulae recited in claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,033 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dalvi et al.

(Indian Journal of Chem. 1985).

Dalvi et al. (I.J. of Chem.) teaches a dye compounds having formulae identical to the

claimed formula (I) as claimed in claims 1 and 4 (see STIC Search Report at page 86, the three

lower formulae), when in the claimed formula (I), A1 and A2 represent formulae (IIIa) and

(IIIb), wherein R1a represents formula (XII) in which R2 and R3 are hydrogen atoms or alkyloxy

radicals as claimed. Dalvi et al. teaches all the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Dalvi et

al. anticipates the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-3 and 5-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record do not teach or disclose the claimed

species naphthalene derivatives of the claimed formula (I) and also the prior art do not teach or

disclose a dyeing composition comprising naphthalene derivatives of the claimed formula (I) in a

combination with any dyeing ingredients such as oxidants, cosmetic agent or polymers as

claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,033 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Conclusion

6 The references listed on from PTO-1449 have been reviewed by the examiner and are

considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the

rejection above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The

examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Pyon Harold can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eisa B Elhilo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

March 8, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/585,033

Page 5

Art Unit: 1796